

## Moving on Tyne and Wear (MOTW) Programme

### Evaluation Scoring Framework

Scoring for Qualitative Response

Section	Description	Weighting
A	Company Information	Pass / Fail
B	Financial Information	Pass / Fail
C	Policy Information	Pass / Fail

Scoring framework for the Project plan and budget:

Question	Max Score	Weighting
The organisation have the relative technical experience to fulfil the contract as demonstrated by the provided case studies	10	2
The organisation has provided details on what methodologies they will be using to deliver the evaluation	10	2
The organisation has provided details of how the contract will be delivered including a timeline and details of the team who will be delivering the contract.	10	2
The organisation has demonstrated the ability to work across the whole of the Tyne and Wear region.	10	1
The organisation has demonstrated their understanding of the North East Socio Economic Climate	10	1
The organisation has provided details of their knowledge and experience of working within a partnership delivery structure.	10	2
The organisation has provided details of their knowledge and experience of working with the voluntary sector and how they will manage the relationships.	10	1
The organisation has provided details of their knowledge and experience of working in a commissioning/funding environment	10	1
The organisation has provided details of their knowledge and experience of working with vulnerable adults.	10	1
The organisation has provided details of their knowledge and experience in identifying lesson's learned and good practice, using this to influence policy and effect change to a ways of working.	10	2
The organisation has provided examples of previous Cost Benefit Analysis that they have completed	10	1



The organisation has provided details of the added value they could bring to the evaluation	10	1
The organisation has provided a detailed budget that shows value for money	10	3

Scoring framework for additional presentation stage

Question	Max Score	Weighting
The presentation demonstrated the organisations skills and experience and provides the client with assurance the evaluation would be delivered successfully.	10	3

Each question will be scored between 0 and 10, with the following scoring guidelines applied:

Score	Term	Typical Characteristic
0-1	Unacceptable	No response or extremely limited response. Response inconsistent and/or unworkable and/or does not address the Client's requirements. Shows extremely limited understanding of, and/or extremely inappropriate approach to, the matter in question.
2-3	Poor	Response is limited and is lacking in relation to a significant proportion of material elements, is unworkable and/or inconsistent and only partially meets the Client's requirements. Shows limited understanding of, and/or inappropriate approach to, the matter in question.
4-5	Satisfactory	Response broadly responds to the Client's requirements at a reasonable standard. Shows reasonable understanding of, and/or acceptable approach to, the matter in question.
6-7	Good	Response meets the Client's requirements at a high standard and exceeds them in one or two respects. Shows very sound understanding of, and appropriate approach to, the matter in question.
8-9	Very Good	Response meets the Client's requirements at a very high standard and exceeds them in a number of respects. Shows extremely sound understanding of, and highly appropriate approach to, the matter in



		question.
10	Excellent	Exemplary response: Exceeds expectations in all respects, and Bidder could not be expected to answer question more comprehensively or appropriately.